

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 2021 JAN 25 PM 2:01

JACKSONVILLE DIVISION

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FL
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

CASE NO:3:18-CR-20(S2)-J-34JBT

FROM:MICHAEL J. LEE LETTER COURT TO THE

ON JANUARY 6,2021 I RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE COURT ASKING ME DO I WANT TO FILE A NOTICE OF APPEAL ,I MICHAEL J. LEE DO NOT WISH TO APPEAL THE SENTENCE THAT WAS GIVEN TO HIM ON 2021-01-14 BY JUDGE MORALES HOWARD . BUT I WOULD LIKE TO APPEAL THE COURTS ACTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE COURT'S PRACTICE WERE CONSISTENT WITH FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL COURT CUSTOMS AND PROCEDURES AT THE TIME OF THE SENTENCING. AND I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT MY APPEAL ISSUE'S BELOW AGAIN I AM STATING I AM NOT APPEALING THE SENTENCE .

MY QUESTION TO THE COURT CONCERNING A RUGER SERIAL NO: 189-64077 THAT WAS SOLD BY MILTON SPORTS SHOP INC. TO A MR. MARVIN R. WILLEY WAS DO THEY HAVE A COMPLAINT FROM THE CORPORATION OR A SWORN TESTIMONY STATING THAT A FINANCIAL LOSS OCCURRED DURING THE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY OR SALE OF THE WEAPON .DO THIS CORPORATION STILL EXSIST OR HAVE IT BEEN PUT OF SERVICE FOR YEARS I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW WERE YOU ABLE TO SUBMIT THIS A.T.F. REPORT OF THE GUN IF THIS CORPORATION NO LONGER EXSIST. I HAD MY INVESTIGATOR MULHOLLAN FORENSICS TO TRY AND REACH OUT TO MILTON SPORTS SHOP AND HE CAME TO A DEAD END BECAUSE MILTON SPORTS SHOP HAD BEEN OUT OF SERVICE FOR YEARS AND MY QUESTION WAS HOW DID THE GOVERNMENT OBTAIN JURISDICTION TO INDICT WITHOUT A COMPLAINT BEING FILED

PURSUANT TO LOPEZ -VS- UNITED STATES STATING THAT IN ORDER TO BE IN VIOLATION OF INTERSTATE COMMERCE IT MUST BE UNDER THE THIRD CATEGORY OF LOPEZ STATING THAT A FINANCIAL LOSS OR THE ECONOMIC ACTIVITY OF THE WEAPON WAS EFFECTED. SEAN MULHALLAND ADDRESS 221 EAST ADAMS STREET JACKSONVILLE FL. OWNER OF THE INVESTGATION BUREAU HAD AGREED TO COME IN AND TESTIFY THAT THE CORPORATION NO LONGER EXSIST AS HE TRIED TO CONTACT THEM BUT THEY HAD BEEN OUT OF SERVICE FOR YEARS, YET AGAIN JUDGE HOWARD DENIED MY WITNESS TO COME IN AND TESTIFY IN MY BEHALF WHICH WAS A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS MY 5th AND AMENDMENT RIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA AS WELL AS MY 6th AMENDMENT.

COURTS DENIAL OF MY WITNESS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM MILTON SPORTS SHOP INC. TO TESTIFY TO WHETHER THEIR CORPORATION FILED A COMPLAINT OR GIVE A SWORN STATEMENT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT STATING THAT THEIR CORPORATION HAD SUBSTANED A FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE SALE OF A RUGER SERIAL NO: 189-64077 FROM MILTON SPORTS SHOP INC. ADDRESS 714 STEWART ST. S.E. MILTON FL. 32570-0000 WHICH DID VIOLATE MY 5th AMENDMENT RIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA.

COURTS DENIAL OF MY WITNESS MR. MARVIN R. WILLEY SR. ON 2-6-1996DID BUY THE RUGER FROM MILTON SPORTS SHOP INC. ADDRESS LISTED AT 6187 KATRINA DRIVE MILTON FL. 32570 WAS THE WITNESS I WANTED TO COME IN AND TESTIFY THAT HE DID PURCHASE THE RUGER SERIAL NO: 189-64077 FROM MILTON SPORTS SHOP AND IF HE DID PURCHASE THE WEAPON FROM THE SPORTS SHOP THEN COMMERCE IS NO LONGER AFFILIATED WITH THE GUN, JUDGE HOWARD DID DENY ME THIS WITNESS TO COME IN AND TESTIFY IN MY BEHALF WHICH DID VIOLATE MY 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION OF AMERICA AS WELL AS MY 6th AMENDMENT.

ON 2021-01-06 DURING A VISIT WITH MY ATTORNEY RICHARD KOMANDO AT THE JAIL WE HAD A CONVERSATION CONCERNING ONE OF

THE ISSUES THAT ME AND JUDGE HOWARD TALKED ABOUT WHEN JUDGE HOWARD STATED TO ME THAT SHE WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO CONTINUE TO REPRESENT MYSELF IF I SAY ANYTHING TO THE JURY ABOUT THE GOVERNMENT NEEDS A COMPLAINT FROM A CORPORATION STATING A FINANCIAL LOSS DID OCCUR DURING THE SALES OR ECONOMIC ACTIVITY OF THE GUN I WAS CHARGED WITH AND IF NO COMPLAINT WAS FILED BY A CORPORATION THEN THE GOVERNMENT HAD NO JURISDICTION TO HAVE INDICT ME IN THIS CASE. WHICH JUDGE HOWARD STATED TO ME THAT SHE WOULD STOP ME FROM REPRESENTING MYSELF AND HAVE STAND BY COUNSEL RICHARD KOMANDO FINISH THE TRIAL, BUT AT THAT TIME I DID NOT KNOW THAT THE JUDGE WOULD HAVE BEEN IN VIOLATION OF MY 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS AND 6th AMENDMENT RIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL OF AMERICA. LEE STATES THAT IF HIS ATTORNEY WOULD HAVE GIVEN HIM THE TRANSCRIPT BEFORE 12-14-2021 THE DAY HE PLEADED GUILTY TO THE CHARGE HE MAY OF HAD STANDINGS IN THIS CASE BEING THAT THE TRANSCRIPT WAS TAINTED AND VITAL CONVERSATIONS HE AND JUDGE HOWARD HAD DURING THE HEARING WAS LEFT OUT LEE STATES MAY HAVE VIOLATED HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. AND LEE FURTHER STATES THAT THE LETTER HE SENT IN TO THE COURT'S DOCKET # 155 ON DECEMBER 21, 2020 THAT JUDGE HOWARD ORDERED TO BE STRICKEN FROM THE RECORD STATING LEE IS REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL LOCAL RULE 2.03(d) WHICH LEE STATES THAT HE FIGURED SINCE HE HAD BEEN SENTENCED THAT THE CASE WAS CLOSED AND HE WAS NO LONGER REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL BUT SINCE JUDGE HOWARD HAS STATED IN HER ORDER ON DECEMBER 29,2020 THAT I AM REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL I DID SEND COUNSEL A LETTER ASKING HIM TO OBTAIN THE AUDIO COLLOQUY FOR ME. LEE ALSO STATES THAT IF COUNSEL HAD GIVEN HIM THE TRANSCRIPTS TIMELY INSTEAD OF 9 MONTHS LATER ON THE DAY OF SENTENCING THAT HE COULD HAVE RAISED THIS TO THE COURT ISSUE BEFORE HE GOT SENTENCED AND THAT MAY BE CONSIDERED A BRADY VIOLATION ON MY ATTORNEY DUE TO THE FACT THAT THE COURT'S COURT REPORTER TAINTED THE TRANSCRIPTS THAT WAS ORDERED TO BE GIVEN TO ME WITH MOST OF THE CONVERSATION ME AND JUDGE HOWARD WAS NOT TRANSCRIBED FOR IN MY COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT. THAT IS WHY I SENT THE LETTER IN TO THE COURT'S ON DECEMBER 21, 2020 ASKING FOR THE COLLOQUY

AUDIO OF THE PROCEEDINGS BECAUSE TYPED AND WRITTEN DOCUMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO HUMAN ERROR BUT WITH THE AUDIO COLLOQUY THEIR SHOULD BE ANY ERRORS. THE COURT REPORTER MAY BE IN VIOLATIO OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS FOR NOT TRANSCRIBING THE ENTIRE CONVERSATION OF THE HEARING BEFORE JUDGE HOWARD AND JUDGE TOOMEY. MR.LEE IS STATING THESE ISSUES TO THE RECORD FOR FURTHER INSPECTION ONCE HE GETS TO HIS PERMANENT PRISON LOCATION TO INVESTIGATE WITH OTHER LEGAL ADVISERS WAS ANY WRONG DOINGS DONE BY THE COURT REPORTER OR HIS ATTORNEY FOR FAILING TO GIVE HIM THE TRANSCRIPTS IN A TIMELY FASHION 12-14-2021 THE TRANSCRIPTS WAS GIVEN TO MR. LEE AT SENTENCING CURT ROOM CAMERA VIDEO WOULD BE THE WITNES ON THAT DAY .

{THESE VIOLATION IS LISTED FOR THE RECORD}

To: CLERK OF COURTS
300 N. HOGAN STREET SUITE 9-150
JACKSONVILLE, FL. 32202

MICHAEL J.LEE
P.O.BOX 1629
MACCLENNY FL. 32063

RICHARD KOMANDO
1279 KINGLEYS AVE.
ORANGE PARK FL.32073



Michael J. Lee

2021-01-21

Dennis E. Ferguson JAN 21, 2021